

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
WESTERN DIVISION**

---

PAMELA ORMOND,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2:19-cv-2070-MSN-cgc

745 CASH,

Defendant.

---

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

---

Before the Court is the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation dated June 7, 2019 (“**Report**”). (ECF No. 7.) The Report recommends that Plaintiff Pamela Ormond’s *pro se* complaint against Defendant 745 Cash be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) for failure to prosecute because Plaintiff failed to either submit a properly completed non-prisoner application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or pay the \$400 civil filing fee in a timely manner pursuant to the Court’s order (ECF No. 6).

Congress enacted 28 U.S.C. § 636 to relieve the burden on the federal judiciary by permitting the assignment of district court duties to magistrate judges. *See United States v. Curtis*, 237 F.3d 598, 602 (6th Cir. 2001) (citing *Gomez v. United States*, 490 U.S. 858, 869–70 (1989)); *see also Baker v. Peterson*, 67 F. App’x 308, 310 (6th Cir. 2003). For dispositive matters, “[t]he district judge must determine *de novo* any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly objected to.” *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3); 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1). After reviewing the evidence, the court is free to accept, reject, or modify the magistrate judge’s proposed findings or recommendations. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The district court is not required to review—under a de

novo or any other standard—those aspects of the report and recommendation to which no objection is made. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). The district court should adopt the magistrate judge’s findings and rulings to which no specific objection is filed. *See id.* at 151.

The deadline to object to the Report has passed, and Plaintiff has filed no objections. The Court has reviewed the Report for clear error and finds none. For the foregoing reasons, the Court **ADOPTS** the Report and **DISMISSES** Plaintiff’s complaint.

**IT IS SO ORDERED**, this 8th day of August, 2019.

s/ Mark S. Norris  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MARK S. NORRIS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE